Contents

**No table of contents entries found.**

---------------------------------------------------------------

# Issue Number: example

**Issue Title:**

**Date:**

**Issue Reporter:**

**Issue Description:**

**Steps Taken to Resolve Issue:**

**Final Resolve Date - if applicable:**

--------------------------------------------------------------

---------------------------------------------------------------

# Issue Number: 1

**Issue Title: Loss of USB and Data**

**Date: 10/10/2017**

**Issue Reporter: Jamie Kostaschuk**

**Issue Description:**

The USB (including the user-specific map pages) that we worked on in the last meeting (week 11 tutorial) was left in the classroom. And cannot be found.

This means that I will be making up for the lost work this week, instead of progressing further with other aspects.

**Steps Taken to Resolve Issue:**

* Lost property claim with QUT has been lodged
* The aspects that need to be worked on have been noted (in this documentation and by me)
* Team has been informed, and no additional workload has been assigned to Jamie to avoid overworking/unbalanced workload for the week.

**Final Resolve Date - if applicable: 14/10/2017**

* The lost features have been re-created again by Jamie, and work will continue again

--------------------------------------------------------------

---------------------------------------------------------------

# Issue Number: 2

**Issue Title: bug in map features**

**Date: 14/10/2017**


**Issue Reporter: Jamie Kostaschuk**

**Issue Description:**

With the checkboxes, certain combinations of locations/aspects restrict the results, or produce no results in the map at all.

It is believed that this is because of the way in which the website searches google maps through the weblink API that is supplied by google. And if we change how we search in that link, we can solve the problem.

An example is below, where searching for Zoos and Universities in Brisbane produce no results.



**Steps Taken to Resolve Issue:**

* Entire team has been updated of the problem
* Proper analysis of potential cause has been identified and documented in this review
* Team will aim to tackle the problem in the near future

**Final Resolve Date: if applicable:**

-------------------------------------------------------------

# Issue Number: 3


**Issue Title: Problems with databases and merges**

**Date: 17/10/2017**

**Issue Reporter: Jamie Kostaschuk**

**Issue Description:**

When merging people’s features and branches, the migrations and databases started throwing errors (such as the key error below). This has halted productivity and ended up costing a lot of time in order to create a fix.



**Steps Taken to Resolve Issue:**

* Ensured all of the files that people wanted were put in a single branch
* Deleted migrations and database, ‘reset’ the database
* Recreated the important information in the database again through <http://127.0.0.1:8000/admin/>
* Informed team members to be extra mindful of the database and migrations, especially when merging

**Final Resolve Date - if applicable: 17/10/2017**

* Jamie reset database

--------------------------------------------------------------

# Issue Number: 4


**Issue Title: New Problems with databases and merges**

**Date: 19/10/2017**

**Issue Reporter: Jamie Kostaschuk**

**Issue Description:**

When creating new features, Bryce and the team encountered more errors. Such as below.



Other issues were around Django reporting that a created template doesn’t not exists (“templatedoesnotexist” error).

**Steps Taken to Resolve Issue:**

* All effected members (Bryce, Michele, Jason) sought help from each other
* Code throwing errors (Like the TabError above) were commented out to give time until Jamie is ready to merge his unaffected branch
  + The team also noted that another solution is to clone Jamie’s branch, which is up to date and un effected

**Final Resolve Date - if applicable: 19/10/2017**

--------------------------------------------------------------

---------------------------------------------------------------

# Issue Number: 5


**Issue Title: bug in map features**


**Date: 14/10/2017**

**Issue Reporter: Jamie Kostaschuk**

**Issue Description:**

Due to the way in which the map coding is done, if the map is ‘overloaded’ with information. Such as searching ‘MacDonald’s, Hungry Jacks’ will result in only Hungry Jacks results in the map.



The cause is that the map coding ‘searching’ google – so if you search google for ‘MacDonald’s, Hungry Jacks’, the results don’t show both – which is carried over to our solution. 

It should be noted, that this ‘bug’ is on occasion, and doesn’t affect most of the experience – and most of the time, even with multiple inputs works fine.

**Steps Taken to Resolve Issue:**

* Entire team has been updated of the problem
* Proper analysis of potential cause has been identified and documented in this review

**Final Resolve Date: if applicable:**

-------------------------------------------------------------